Case 3:07-cv-0244	6-MMC	Document 184-7	Filed 09/05/2008	Page 1 of 62
		VLID	TTT	
		XHIB		
				1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-

Document 184-7

Filed 09/05/2008

Page 2 of 62

Case 3:07-cv-02446-MMC

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

All documents and things acquired or received by Plaintiff by virtue of Plaintiff's employment with HMCU including, without limitation, all books, records, files, or data

28

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

downloaded by Plaintiff from any desktop, personal, portable, or mainframe computer and any

abstracts, copies or summaries thereof.

3

1

2

OBJECTION:

4 5

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, vague, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and seeks information that it not relevant to a claim or defense or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

7 8

6

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 2:

10

broad request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly

All documents and things created by Plaintiff in connection with Plaintiff's

11

12

13

14

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

15

employment with HMCU, including but without limitation any memoranda, charts, reports,

16 17

summaries, spreadsheets, electronic mail messages, or other documents created by Plaintiff to be

18

used to present information to prospective clients or customers or existing clients or customers.

19

20

OBJECTION:

Defendant.

21

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, vague, unduly

22

burdensome, seeks information that it not relevant to a claim or defense or reasonably calculated

23

to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, because the information sought is be in

24

Defendant's possession - particularly the server containing Plaintiff's entire email file - they can

25

be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

26 27

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 3:

4

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

All documents and things Plaintiff has removed from HMCU's premises.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, vague, unduly burdensome, cumulative, seeks information that it not relevant to a claim or defense or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, because all documents relating to Plaintiff's employment are in Defendant's possession they can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 4:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REOUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

Any and all documents that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect the hours Plaintiff has worked each and every day during his employment with HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, vague, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the information sought is be in Defendant's possession - particularly the server containing Plaintiff's and his supervisors' entire email files,

branch schedules, payroll, benefits, and leave records, and other documents identifying time and date of work performed – it can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

4

5

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 5:

6

7

broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly

8

9

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

11

12

13

10

All documents that relate to, refer to, show or reflect the days Plaintiff has worked during his employment with HMCU, including, but without limitation, any and all documents that describe the exact hours of work for each such day, any time for breakfasts, lunches, dinners, smoking, attending to personal issues or tasks that are not or were not work related, meal periods,

14 15

break periods or rest periods.

17

16

OBJECTION:

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, vague, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the information sought is be in Defendant's possession – particularly the server containing Plaintiff's and his supervisors' entire email files, branch schedules, payroll, benefits, and leave records, and other documents identifying time and date of work performed - it can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 6:

-5-

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17.

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

All documents that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect the weeks of the year(s) Plaintiff has worked during his employment with HMCU, including, but without limitation, any and all documents that describe the exact hours of work for each such week, any time taken for breakfasts, lunches, dinners, smoking, attending to personal issues or tasks that are not or were not work related, meal periods, break periods or rest periods.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, vague, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the information sought is be in Defendant's possession - particularly the server containing Plaintiff's and his supervisors' entire email files, branch schedules, payroll, benefits, and leave records, and other documents identifying time and date of work performed - it can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 7:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

All documents that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect the months of the year(s) Plaintiff has worked during his employment with HMCU, including, but without limitation, any and all documents that describe the exact hours of work for each such month, any time taken for breakfasts, lunches, dinners, smoking, attending to personal issues or tasks that are not or were not work related, meal periods, break periods or rest periods.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, vague, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the information sought is be in Defendant's possession – particularly the server containing Plaintiff's and his supervisors' entire email files, branch schedules, payroll, benefits, and leave records, and other documents identifying time and date of work performed - it can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 8:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

Any and all documents reflection Plaintiff's work assignments and/or assigned duties during his employment with HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, vague, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the information sought is be in Defendant's possession – particularly the server containing Plaintiff's and his supervisors' entire email files,

5

6

from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 9:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

branch schedules, and other documents identifying Plaintiff's job assignments - it can be obtained

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

All documents that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect job duties actually performed by Plaintiff while employed at HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, vague, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the information sought is be in Defendant's possession – particularly the server containing Plaintiff's and his supervisors' entire email files, branch schedules, and other documents identifying Plaintiff's job duties - it can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

19

20

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 10:

21

broad request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly

All electronic mail sent by Plaintiff, including, but without limitation, electronic

23

22

24

25

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

2627

mail sent from any personal electronic mail accounts, between the hours of 6:00 a.m. to 7:30 a.m.

1

3

5

4

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

and 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, at any time during Plaintiff's employment with HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that Defendant is in possession, and has yet to produce, the server containing Plaintiff's entire email files. As such, the information sought can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 11:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this request, he will produce all emails in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

All electronic mail sent by Plaintiff, including, but without limitation, electronic mail sent from any personal electronic mail accounts, between the hours of 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. on Saturdays at any time during Plaintiff's employment with HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that Defendant is in possession, and has yet to produce, the server containing Plaintiff's entire email files. As such, the information sought can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive. **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 12:** Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this request, he will produce all emails in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25 26

27

28

All documents that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect Plaintiff's communications with HMCU employees, clients or prospective clients after 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday at any time during Plaintiff's employment with HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that Defendant is in possession, and has yet to produce, the server containing Plaintiff's and his supervisors' entire email files and Plaintiff's phone records. As such, the information sought can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 13:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this request, he will produce all emails and phone records in his possession reflecting communications with HMCU employees, clients and prospective clients.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

All documents that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect an evaluation of Plaintiff's job performance while employed by HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is cumulative. Further, because the information sought is be in Defendant's possession, it can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 14:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant, including performance related documents.

2

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

3 4

All documents that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect policies and/or procedures related the position(s) Plaintiff holds or held while employed by HMCU.

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is cumulative, seeks information that it not relevant to a claim or defense or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, because all documents relating to Plaintiff's employment - including all policies and procedures that related to his job - are in Defendant's possession they can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 15:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

All documents that relate to, refer to, show or reflect training for the position(s) Plaintiff holds or held while employed by HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is cumulative. Further, because all documents relating to Plaintiff's employment – including all training materials - are in Defendant's possession they can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 16:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

All documents, including, but not limited to pay records, pay checks, pay stubs, IRS W-2 forms, memos, letters, and/or tax returns, that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect the wages, including commissions, promised, earned or paid to Plaintiff while employed by HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, seeks information that it not relevant to a claim or defense or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment – including all compensation information - are in Defendant's possession they can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 17:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this unduly burdensome, overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all pay records and paystubs in his possession relating to income earned from Defendant.

REOUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

All documents, including but not limited to pay records, pay checks, pay stubs, IRS W-2 forms, memos, letters, and/or tax returns, that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect the benefits promised, earned or paid to Plaintiff while employed by HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, seeks information that it not relevant to a claim or defense or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment – including all benefits information - are in Defendant's possession they can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 18:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this unduly burdensome, overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all pay records and paystubs in his possession relating all benefits paid or promised by Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

All documents that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect any bonus programs or other incentive compensation for which Plaintiff was eligible while employed by HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, seeks information that it not relevant to a claim or defense or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment - including all compensation information - are in Defendant's

possession they can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 19:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this unduly burdensome, overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all pay records and paystubs in his possession relating to compensation paid by Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

All documents that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect any absences from work due to sick days, personal days, doctor's appointments, leaves of absences, or for any other reason requested and/or taken by Plaintiff while employed by HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, vague, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the information sought is be in Defendant's possession – particularly the server containing Plaintiff's and his supervisors' entire email files, branch schedules, payroll, benefits, and leave records, and other documents identifying time and date of work performed - it can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 20:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REOUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

All documents that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect any vacations requested and/or taken by Plaintiff while employed by HMCU including, without limitation, calendar entries, receipts, credit card bills, airline ticket stubs, itineraries, etc.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, vague, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the information sought is be in Defendant's possession – particularly the server containing Plaintiff's and his supervisors' entire email files, branch schedules, payroll, benefits, and leave records, and other documents identifying time and date of work performed - it can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 21:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:

All documents that relate to, refer to, show or reflect any earned income by Plaintiff from HMCU, including, but without limitation, pay records, pay checks, pay stubs, IRS W-2 forms, memos, and letters received at any time during Plaintiff's employment with HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment – including all compensation information - are in Defendant's possession they can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 22:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this cumulative request, he will produce all pay records and paystubs in his possession relating to compensation paid by Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:

All documents that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect all alleged deductions made by HMCU from Plaintiff's wages, commission or incentives.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, seeks information that it not relevant to a claim or defense or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment – including all compensation information - are in Defendant's possession they can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 23:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this unduly burdensome, overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all pay records and paystubs in his possession relating to compensation paid by Defendant.

REOUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24:

All documents, including, and without limitation, credit card receipts, cash receipts, credit card bills, and cellular telephone bills, that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect all expenses Plaintiff claims to have incurred in performing his duties while employed by HMCU.

-16

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment - including all compensation information - are in Defendant's possession they can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 24:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this unduly burdensome, overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all pay records and paystubs in his possession relating to compensation paid and deductions made by Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25:

All documents, including, and without limitation, cellular telephone bills, cellular telephone records, personal digital assistant bills, and personal digital assistant records, that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect all telephone calls made and received, all text, SMS, or PIN messages sent or received, all pages sent or received, and any and all other communications sent or received from or by the cellular telephone number(s) Plaintiff uses or has used to perform work for HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, seeks information that it not relevant to a claim or defense or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's job duties and hours worked - including the servers containing Plaintiff's and his supervisors' email files, phone records, and expense reimbursement materials - are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 25:

Plaintiff will not provide the documents requested in this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:

All documents, including, and without limitation, telephone bills and telephone records, that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect all telephone calls made and received, all text, SMS, or PIN messages sent or received, all pages sent or received, and any and all other communications sent or received from or by any personal or home telephone number(s) Plaintiff uses or has used to perform work for HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, seeks information that it not relevant to a claim or defense or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's job duties and hours worked — including the servers containing Plaintiff's and his supervisors' email files, phone records, and expense reimbursement materials - are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 26:

Plaintiff will not provide the documents requested in this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27:

-18-PLAINTIFF CHAUSSY'S RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (SET I)

5

7

8

10

9

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

All documents, including, and without limitation, cellular telephone bills, cellular telephone records, personal digital assistant bills, and personal digital assistant records, that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect all telephone calls made and received, all text, SMS, or PIN messages sent or received, and any and all other communications sent or received from or by any personal digital assistant device Plaintiff uses or has used to perform work for HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, seeks information that it not relevant to a claim or defense or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's job duties and hours worked — including the servers containing Plaintiff's and his supervisors' email files, phone records, and expense reimbursement materials - are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 27:

Plaintiff will not provide the documents requested in this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28:

All documents, including, and without limitation, pager bills and pager records, that relate to, refer to, show, or reflect all pages sent and received and any and all other communications sent or received from or by any pager Plaintiff uses or has used to perform work for HMCU.

OBJECTION: Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, seeks information that it not relevant to a claim or defense or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, because the documents relating to

-19-

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Plaintiff's job duties and hours worked - including the servers containing Plaintiff's and his supervisors' email files, phone records, and expense reimbursement materials - are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 28:

Plaintiff will not provide the documents requested in this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:

All calendars, calendar entries, diaries, day-timers, day planners, time records, notes, memoranda, training schedules, work schedules or similar documents covering the period of Plaintiff's employment with HMCU to present, which in any manner relate to Plaintiff's hours of work, working assignments, rate of pay, wages, compensation, commissions and/or other working conditions while employed by HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's job duties, hours worked and compensation are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 29:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30:

5

6

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

All documents reflecting communications or correspondence that Plaintiff and/or his counsel has had with former and/or current employees of HMCU that relate or refer to the claims alleged in the First Amended Complaint.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this requests on the grounds that it is vague, overly burdensome, seeks information protected by the attorney work-product doctrine, and seeks "statements" beyond that which is contemplated by Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 30:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that as part of his motions filed with the Court, he has produced to Defendant all "statements" as defined by Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31:

All documents reflecting communication or correspondence that Plaintiff and/or his counsel has had with any individual that relate or refer to the claims alleged in the First Amended Complaint.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this requests on the grounds that it is vague, overly burdensome, cumulative, seeks information protected by the attorney work-product doctrine, and seeks "statements" beyond that which is contemplated by Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 31:

1 2 3

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that as part of his motions filed with the Court, he has produced to Defendant all "statements" as defined by Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

obtained or received by Plaintiff and/or his counsel from any of HMCU's former and/or current

burdensome, cumulative, seeks information protected by the attorney work-product doctrine, and

seeks "statements" beyond that which is contemplated by Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil

with the Court, he has produced to Defendant all "statements" as defined by Rule 26 of the

All documents that relate to, refer to or show any written or recorded statements

Plaintiff objects to this requests on the grounds that it is vague, overly

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that as part of his motions filed

All documents that relate to, refer to or show any written or recorded statements

4

5

6

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:

RESPONSE TO REOUEST NO. 32:

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

employees concerning the subject matter of this lawsuit.

7

8

9

10

OBJECTION:

Procedure.

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

23

24

25

26

2728

OBJECTION:

matter of this lawsuit.

<u>-22-</u>

obtained or received by Plaintiff and/or his counsel from any individual concerning the subject

07-cv-2446 MMC

Plaintiff objects to this requests on the grounds that it is vague, overly burdensome, cumulative, seeks information protected by the attorney work-product doctrine, and seeks "statements" beyond that which is contemplated by Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 33:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that as part of his motions filed with the Court, he has produced to Defendant all "statements" as defined by Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34:

All documents that refer to, support, or reflect your typical daily tasks for each position you hold or held while employed with HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's typical daily tasks - including the servers containing Plaintiff's and his supervisors' email files, phone records, and expense reimbursement materials - are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 34:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REOUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:

27

24

25

3

OBJECTION:

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

All documents that refer to, support, or reflect your typical weekly tasks for each position you hold or held while employed with HMCU.

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's typical weekly tasks – including the servers containing Plaintiff's and his supervisors' email files, phone records, and expense reimbursement materials - are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 35:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36:

All documents which in any manner relate or refer to each and every instance for which you claim that you did not receive appropriate compensation, including but not limited to overtime, penalties, fines, and premium pay, from HMCU in violation of ay state or federal law, including but not limited to the Fair Labor Standards Act.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's burdensome, and cumulative. compensation – including payroll and compensation records - are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

All documents which in any manner relate to or refer to itemized wage statements

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your

compensation - including pay records, pay checks, and pay stubs - the information can be

broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his

obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 36:

3

2

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

5

4

6

7

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37:

8

9

provided to you by HMCU, including but not limited to pay records, pay checks, and pay stubs.

OBJECTION:

burdensome, and cumulative.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 37:

employment with Defendant.

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38:

23

24 25

26

as exempt.

OBJECTION:

27

28

contention that the "loan officers" described in the First Amended Complaint were misclassified

4

3

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 38:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 39:

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that "loan officers" described in the First Amended Complaint were denied or not fully paid overtime compensation.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 39:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40:

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

2728

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 41:

-27-

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that the "loan officers" described in the First Amended Complaint were denied or prevented from taking meal and rest periods.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 40:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41:

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that the "loan officers" described in the First Amended Complaint were not provided appropriate waiting time penalties pursuant to the California Labor Code.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that the "loan officers" described in the First Amended Complaint were not provided with properly itemized wage statements pursuant to the California Labor Code.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 42:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:

All documents that in any manner refer to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that the "sales assistants" described in the First Amended Complaint were not paid fully for overtime hours worked.

OBJECTION:

1

2

3

4

5

6

· 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 43:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44:

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that the "sales assistants" described in the First Amended Complaint were denied or prevented from taking meal and rest periods.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 44:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

27

4

9

12

11

14

13

16

15

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45:

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that the "sales assistants" described in the First Amended Complaint were not provided appropriate waiting time penalties pursuant to the California Labor Code.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 45:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 46:

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that the "sales assistants" described in the First Amended Complaint were not provided with properly itemized wage statements pursuant to the California Labor Code.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 46:

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 47:

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that the "other non-management sales employees" described in the First Amended Complaint were misclassified as exempt.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 47:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 48:

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that the "other non-management sales employees" described in the First Amended Complaint were denied or not fully paid overtime compensation.

OBJECTION:

6 7

5

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 48:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 49:

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that the "other non-management sales employees" described in the First Amended Complaint were denied or prevented from taking meal and rest period.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 49:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 50:

7

11

10

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that the "other non-management sales employees" described in the First Amended Complaint were not provided appropriate waiting time penalties pursuant to the California Labor Code.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 50:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 51:

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that the "other non-management sales employees" described in the First Amended Complaint were not provided with properly itemized wage statements pursuant to the California Labor Code.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 51:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 52:

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that HMCU suffered and permitted Plaintiffs, Class Members and/or the Collective Class to work more than forty hours per week without overtime compensation.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 52:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 53:

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that HMCU has engaged in conduct that is or was willful and in bad faith, causing significant damages to Plaintiff, Class Members and/or the Collective Class.

OBJECTION:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 53:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 54:

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that HMCU has failed to provide Plaintiff and/or Class Members with meal periods as required by law.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 54:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 55:

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove your contention that HMCU failed to authorize and permit Plaintiff and/or Class Members to take rest periods as required by law.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment and Defendant's pay policies and practices are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 55:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 56:

All documents reflecting communications or correspondence to or from any government entity that relate or refer to the claims alleged in the First Amended Complaint.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, seeks information that it not relevant to a claim or defense or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment – including communications with government entities - are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 56:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 57:

All documents that relate to, refer to, show or reflect any and all claims submitted to, or communications with, federal, state or local government agencies or entities and Plaintiff regarding HMCU.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, seeks information that it not relevant to a claim or defense or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment – including communications with government entities - are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 57:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 58:

All documents, including, but without limitation, written warnings, electronic mail messages, memoranda, and Interim Job Discussions, that relate to, refer to, show or reflect any

-37-

performance related corrective action, counseling, or discipline that Plaintiff received during his

burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment

are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more

broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his

Plaintiff's contention in the First Amended Complaint that Plaintiff's work productivity was

burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment

as compared to his colleagues are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly

1 2

employment with HMCU.

3

4

OBJECTION:

5

6

7

8

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 58:

convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

10

11

12

13

14

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 59:

comparable to that of his colleagues.

OBJECTION:

employment with Defendant.

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 59:

from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

-38-

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 60:

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove Plaintiff's contention in the First Amended Complaint that HMCU acknowledged any wage violation in any proceeding that occurred under the California Labor Commissioner's jurisdiction.

OBJECTION:

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Defendant's communications with the California Labor Commissioner are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 60:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 61:

All documents that in any manner refer to, relate to, tend to prove or disprove Plaintiff's contention in the First Amended Complaint that Plaintiff was discharged from employment by HMCU in retaliation for Plaintiff's protected activities under the Fair Labor Standards Act and through the California Department of Labors Standards Enforcement.

OBJECTION:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Plaintiff objects to this request on the grounds that it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and cumulative. Further, because the documents relating to Plaintiff's employment are in Defendant's possession, the information can be obtained from a source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 61:

Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff states that in response to this overly broad and cumulative request, he will produce all documents in his possession relating to his employment with Defendant.

13 | February 29, 2008

NICHOLS KASTER & ANDERSON, LLP

/s/

Paul J. Lukas 4600 IDS Center, 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 T: 612-256-3200 F: 612-215-6870

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

2526

27

28

-40-

21

650 California Street

20th Floor 26

San Francisco, CA 94108-2693

27 28

Page 43 of 62 1 Dated: February 29, 2008 NICHOLS KASTER & ANDERSON, LLP 2 3 s/ Brad Heitzinger 4 Brad Heitzinger **Class Action Clerk** 5 NICHOLS KASTER & ANDERSON, LLP One Embarcadero Center 6 Suite 720 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone (415) 277-7235 8 Facsimile (415) 277-7238 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 PLAINTIFF CHAUSSY'S RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S 07-cv-2446 MMC REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (SET I)

Document 184-7

Filed 09/05/2008

Case 3:07-cv-02446-MMC

	Case 3:07-cv-0	02446-MMC	Document 184-7	Filed 09/05/2008	Page 44 of 62	
					3	
						!
,						
		E	(HIB)	TK		
					A	

Donald H. Nichols, MN State Bar No. 78918 1 (admitted <u>pro hac vice</u>) Paul J. Lukas, MN State Bar No. 22084X 2 (admitted <u>pro hac vice)</u> NICHOLS KASTER & ANDERSON, PLLP 3 4600 IDS Center 80 S. 8th Street 4 Minneapolis, MN 55402 5 Bryan J. Schwartz, CA State Bar No. 209903 Matthew C. Helland, CA State Bar No. 250451 6 NICHOLS KASTER & ANDERSON, LLP One Embarcadero Center, Ste. 720 7 San Francisco, CA 94111 8 Attorneys for Individual and Representative Plaintiffs 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Philip Wong, Frederic Chaussy, and Leslie Marie Shearn, individually, on behalf of all Case No: 07-cv-2446 MMC 12 others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public, 13 Plaintiff, 14 v. PLAINTIFF PHILIP WONG'S 15 PRIVILEGE LOG HSBC Mortgage Corporation (USA); HSBC Bank USA, N.A.; and DOES 1 16 through 50, inclusive, 17 Defendant. 18 19 20 21 TO: HSBC Mortgage Corporation (USA); HSBC Bank USA, N.A., and their attorneys of record: 22 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Plaintiff Wong has withheld the documents listed on the 23 attached table (ATTACHMENT 1) on the grounds that production of these documents would 24 reveal the contents of communications protected by the attorney-client privilege and the attorney 25 26 work-product doctrine. Listed documents may include numerous communications embedded 27 within them (as in an "email chain"), may contain duplicative copies of the same communication, 28 and may be redacted where documents contained both communications including Mr. Wong and

communications which did not include Mr. Wong. Plaintiffs reserve the right to supplement this privilege log at any time. Discovery is continuing. NICHOLS KASTER & ANDERSON, LLP Dated: May 13, 2008 s/Bryan J. Schwartz Bryan J. Schwartz, CA Bar No. 209903 One Embarcadero Center Suite 720 San Francisco, CA 94111 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS -2-

Attachment 1

orney-client ruvilege e-ma

NKA006092-6093 NKA006094 NKA006095 NKA006096-6097 NKA006102-6104 NKA006105-6106 NKA006117-6113 NKA006117-6113 NKA006117-6113 NKA006117-6113	NKA006037-6033 NKA006034 NKA006035-6036 NKA006035-6036 NKA006035-6038 NKA006037-6038 NKA006059-6046 NKA006059-6059 NKA006059-6054 NKA006059-6059 NKA006059-6065 NKA006057-6069 NKA006077-6080 NKA006077-6080 NKA006077-6083 NKA006077-6083 NKA006077-6083 NKA006081-6083 NKA006081-6083	Bates Number (s) NKA006005-6006 NKA006007-6008 NKA006009 NKA006010-6014 NKA006015-6017
RE: HSBC list re: contacts from HSBC re: depositions Re: fw: invitation action plan discussion re: HSBC list		 Subject line fw: Dress Code at Montgomery Branch Fw: Discussion Today fw: Invitation: Action plan discussed fw: Winter Credit Campaign fw: contacts from HSBC
Bryan Schwartz Bryan Schwartz Bryan Schwartz Bryan Schwartz Bryan Schwartz Phil Wong Paul Lukas Bryan Schwartz Phil Wong Lucas Kaster Bryan Schwartz Lawrence Lee	Frideric Chaussy Phil Wong Phil Wong Lucas Kaster Bryan Schwartz Phil Wong Frederic Chaussy Phil Wong Bryan Schwartz Phil Wong Bryan Schwartz Frederic Chaussy Phil Wong Bryan Schwartz Bryan Schwartz Phil Wong Bryan Schwartz	Author Phil Wong Phil Wong Phil Wong Phil Wong Phil Wong
P. Wong P. Wong P. Wong P. Wong P. Wong P. Lukas P. Lukas P. Wong, F. Chaussy B. Schwartz P. Wong, F. Chaussy P. Wong, F. Chaussy P. Wong, P. Lukas P. Wong, P. Lukas F. Chaussy, P. Wong, L. Kaster	L. Lee, P. Wong, L. Kaster P. Lukas P. Lukas, B. Schwartz P. Wong P. Wong B. Schwartz P. Wong P. Wong B. Schwartz P. Lukas P. Wong, P. Lukas P. Wong, P. Lukas B. Schwartz P. Wong L. Kaster P. Wong L. Kaster P. Wong B. Schwartz P. Wong B. Schwartz P. Wong C. Kaster P. Wong B. Schwartz P. Wong B. Schwartz P. Wong P. Wong P. Wong P. Wong P. Wong P. Kaster P. Wong P. Wong P. Wong P. Kaster P. Wong	Recipient B. Schwartz, P. Lukas P. Lukas B. Schwartz, P. Lukas B. Schwartz, P. Lukas B. Schwartz, F. Chaussy
5/13/2008 5/13/2008 5/13/2008 6/20/2007 5/13/2008 1/25/2008 1/25/2008 5/14/2007 4/24/2007 11/1/2007 1/22/2008 5/23/2007	5/23/2007 2/29/2008 3/1/2008 10/24/2007 4/15/2008 10/24/2007 4/15/2008 4/30/2007 11/21/2007 1/23/2008 1/22/2008 5/30/2007 5/16/2007 6/20/2007 12/28/2007 12/28/2007 12/28/2007 12/17/2007 3/3/2008 1/22/2008 4/21/2008 3/4/2008 5/8/2007 10/30/2007 5/13/2008 3/14/2008	Date 1/23/2008 2/1/2008 1/22/2008 1/23/2008 1/23/2008

Attorney-client Privilege e-mail log

NKA006249 fw: Invitation: Action Plan Discussion(Jan 23 NKA006250-6251 FW: Loan Officer Branch Schedule-March 07	NKA006229-6234 re: ID, Response required NKA006235 re: resignation letter NKA006238-6240 depositions NKA006241-6242 Fwd: Dress Code at Montgomery Branch NKA006243-6244 Fwd: Fw: Confirmed: Retail Business plan NKA006245-6246 Fwd: Fw: Discussion Today NKA006247-6248 Fw: E-mail taglines promoting the premi	NKA006204-6207 re: resignation letter NKA006208-6209 re: HSBC NKA006210-6214 re: IJD, Response required NKA006215 re: resignation letter NKA006215 re: HSBC NKA006214-6223 re: IJD, Response required NKA006214-6225 re: resignation letter NKA006227-6228 re: HSBC		Bates Number (s) Subject line NKA006123-6125 re: HSBC NKA006126-6129 re: IJD , Response required NKA006130-6132 re: job positions NKA006133 re: meeting next week NKA006134-6136 re: Phil, please take a close look NKA006137-6140 re: resignation letter NKA006141 re: HSBC NKA006145-6146 re: HSBC NKA006147-6149 fw: HSBC list
W: Invitation: Action Plan Discussion(Jan 23 2:00 PM PS in Montgomery Branch 10th floor FW: Loan Officer Branch Schedule-March 07	re: ID, Response required re: resignation letter depositions Fwd: Dress Code at Montgomery Branch Fwd: Fw: Confirmed: Retail Business plan, WebEx Training Fwd: Fw: Discussion Today Fw: E-mail taglines promoting the premier delux and HE promo	ed. d	Plan discussion	er er
Phil Wong Phil Wong	Bryan Schwartz Paul Lukas Lucas Kaster Phil Wong Phil Wong Phil Wong Phil Wong	Bryan Schwartz Bryan Schwartz Phil Wong Bryan Schwartz Bryan Schwartz Phil Wong Bryan Schwartz Phil Wong Bryan Schwartz Phil Wong	Phil Wong Bryan Schwartz Frederic Chaussy Phil Wong Phil Wong Phil Wong Phil Wong Lucas Kaster Bryan Schwartz Bryan Schwartz Bryan Schwartz Phil Wong	Author Phil Wong Bryan Schwartz Phil Wong Bryan Schwartz Bryan Schwartz Phil Wong Bryan Schwartz Phil Wong Bryan Schwartz Phil Wong Paul Lukas Bryan Schwartz
P.Lukas, B.Schwartz B.Schwartz	P. Wong P. Wong, B. Schwartz P. Wong, F. Chaussy B. Schwartz, P. Lukas B. Schwartz P. Lukas P. Lukas B. Schwartz	P. Wong P. Wong B. Schwartz P. Wong P. Lukas, P. Wong B. Schwartz P. Wong P. Wong L. Kaster	B. Schwartz P. Wong, P. Łukas LLee, P. Wong, L. Kaster P. Lukas B. Schwartz L. Kaster, F. Chaussy B. Schwartz F. Chaussy, B. Schwartz, P. Wong P. Wong, L. Kaster P. Wong B. Schwartz P. Wong B. Schwartz P. Wong P. Lukas B. Schwartz P. Lukas B. Schwartz	Recipient B. Schwartz B. Wong L. Wong L. Kaster, F. Chaussy P. Wong, F. Chaussy P. Wong B. Schwartz P. Wong B. Schwartz P. Wong
1/22/2008 5/30/2007	12/28/2007 3/2/2008 10/31/2007 1/23/2008 11/26/2007 2/1/2008 1/2/2008	12/28/2007 3/4/2008 7/19/2007 12/28/2007 12/28/2007 3/3/2008 5/13/2008 5/13/2008 12/28/2007 3/3/2008	5/3/2007 5/3/2007 1/22/2008 5/21/2007 2/29/2008 12/28/2007 5/16/2007 5/16/2007 6/20/2007 12/28/2007 12/28/2008 5/13/2008 5/13/2008 5/13/2008 5/13/2008	Date 5/13/2008 12/28/2007 5/16/2007 4/24/2007 12/17/2007 12/17/2008 3/4/2008 1/25/2008 1/25/2008 5/14/2007

<u> Attorney-client Privilege e-mail log</u>

NKA006371-6373 NKA006374-6377	NKA006365-6370	NKA006364	NKA006363	NKA006362	NICACCIST	NYACOCOCO-DOC	NKYOUCZEO EZED	NKAOO6356-6357	NKA006354-6355	NKA006347-6349	NKA006340-6346	NKAUU633/-6339	NKA006335-6336	110000000000000000000000000000000000000	VECS-0CESOUVAIN	NKAOOCOCO-COCA	NEACOCTATE CTA	1750-0250-0271	NKADOGEO COTA	DICO-(TCO/ONN	NN-2006317 6318	NKA006310 6316	NKA006304-6307	NKA006303	NKA006302	NKA006301	NKA006298-6299	NKA006297	NKA006296	NKA006294	NKA006286-6287	NKA006284-6285	NKA006280-6283	NKA006279	NKA006277-6278	NKA006272-6276	NKA006267-6269	NKA006264-6266	NKA006263	NKA006259-6262	NKA006254-6258	NKA006252-6253	Bates Number (s)
RE: Job positions	RE: IJD, response required	RE:IDJ HSBC	RE: IDJ on Friday 2:51	RE: HSBC	RE: HSBC NOU P. Wong	RE TOBO COST	PET TICEC 1114 CONSTRUCTION	RF. HCRC investigation	RE: HSRC Declaration, Wone (12, 24)	FW: Philip Wong leave of Absence Request	in Cantonese and Mandarin	FW: Coarl Officer Branch Schedule-March 07	fw: Invitation: Action Plan Discussion(Jan 23 2:00 PM PS in Montgomery Branch 10th floor	IE. IW. POOC IIS.	ro: for use that are(ketali Mongage Maskers Club)	w Dress Lode at Montgomery Branch	/ou		ne			preparation	RE: contacts from hsbc	RE: cases	RE: blg win for us	RE: amended complaint			RE: Phil, are you avail next Mon p.m. for preparation and Tues all day for deposition	No subject	Re: hi phil	atter	-	fw: inventory HSBC	fw; HSBC list	fw: HSBC list	h Schedule-March 07	fw: contacts from HSBC	US.			Fw: Rescheduled: Monthly Meeting- Conference Call (Nov 28 2:00 PM PST)	Subject line
Frederic Chaussy Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Bryan Schwartz	Phil Wong	Bryan Schwartz	Frederic Chaussy	Phil Wong	Bryan Schwartz	Supar in a	Bryan Schwartz		Phil Wong	Bryan Schwartz	Lawrence Lee	Bryan Schwartz	Bryan Schwartz	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Frederic Chaussy	Bryan Schwartz	Phil Wang	Bryan Schwartz	Phil Wong	Lucas Kaster	Phil Wong	Bryan Schwartz	Phil Wong	Bryan Schwartz	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Frederic Chaussy	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Bryan Schwartz	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Author
P.Wong, L.Kaster L.Kaster	B.Schwartz	B.Schwartz	P.Wong	B.Schwartz	M. Honkanen, P.Wong	L.Lee, L.Kaster, P.Wong	L.Kaster	P.Wong	B.Schwartz	P.Wong		B.Schwartz	P.Wong, P.Lukas	F.Chaussy, L.Kaster, P.Wong	P.Wong	P.Wong, P.Lukas	B.Schwartz	P.Lukas	B.Schwartz	B.Schwartz	L.Kaster, F.Chaussy	B.Schwartz, P.Wong, J.Henry	P. Wong	B.Schwartz	P. Wong, F. Chaussy , J. Henry	B.Schwartz, F. Chaussy, L.Kaster	P.Wong	P.Lukas, B.Schwartz	P.Wong	B.Schwartz	P.Wong	P. Lukas	L. Kaster	P. Lukas	P. Lukas	L.Kaster, B.Schwartz, L.Lee, P.Wong	B. Schwartz	B. Schwartz, F. Chaussy	P. Wong, F. Chaussy, J. Henry	B.Schwartz	P.Lukas, B.Schwartz	B.Schwartz	Recipient
5/16/2007 5/21/2007	12/28/2007	12/28/2007	1/7/2008	5/13/2008	11/28/2007	5/16/2007	6/14/2007	12/20/2007	6/19/2007	1/17/2008		5/30/2007	1/22/2008	5/24/2007	1/23/2008	1/23/2008	7/31/2007	1/23/2008	5/10/2007	7/17/2007	11/1/2007	11/16/2007	4/23/2007	4/15/2008	3/24/2008	6/30/2007	10/30/2007	3/1/2008	10/25/2007	3/4/2008	12/10/2007	3/3/2008	5/16/2007	2/29/2008	2/29/2008	5/23/2007	5/30/2007	4/20/2007	3/24/2008	5/30/2007	1/23/2008	11/26/2007	Date

Officy-Cheff Flivilege e-ilidi

NKAUU6585-6591	NKA006583-6584	NKA006581-6582	NKA006575-6580	#/CO-COCOOPOR	NIVADDEECD CETA	NKA006517-6522	NKA006511-6516	NKA006504-6510	NKA006499-6503	NKA006498		NKA006497	NKA006491-96	NKAUU6490	NKA006489	WKA006487-6488		NKA006484-6486	NKA006482-6483	NKA006452-6481	NKA006452-6481	1040-744000447	NIVADOCART CATA	NKA006447-6451	NKA006408	NKA006408	NKA006406-6407	NKA006406-6407	NKA006405	NKA006402-6404	NKA006401	NKA006399-6400	NKA006398	NKA006395-6397	NKA006393-6394	NKA006386-6389	NKA006384-6385	NKA006381	NKAD06378-6380	Bates Number (s)
Ne: HSBC	FW: Attachment of Employment 360 Article	FW: State of California	RE: HUBC	No. 1280		Re: HCRC	Sp. ECSO	Re: HSBC	RE: HSBC	Discovery Answers		Case Update letter	Judicial Notice	FW: Evidence Preservation	RE HUBC	Re: Discovery answers		RE: Discovery answers	RE: HSBC	re: Phil Wong, EPM	re: Phil Wong, EPM	חב. וב מטוא מעשטות פאפעתואב		RE: re Bofa account executive	No subject	No subject .	RE: your discrimination complaint	RE: your discrimination complaint	RE: your case was top story in employment law 360	Re: yesterdays meeting	RE: Wong resignation letter	RE: tomorrow-Tuesday	RE: today	RE: retaliation	RE: resignation letter	RE: Phil, please take a close look	RE: Phil, are you avail next Mon p.m. for preparation and Tues all day for deposition	RE: new contact info	RE: meeting next week	Subject line
Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Pall Wong	Phil Wong	Section 2008	Dhil Woon	Bryan Schwartz	Paul Lukas		Brad Heitzinger		Bryan Schwartz	Paul Lukas	Phil Wong		Paul Lukas	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Pail wong		Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Phil Wong	Bryan Schwartz	Frederic Chaussy	Phil Wong	Frederic Chaussy	Phil Wong	Phil Wang	Bryan Schwartz	Phil Wong	Bryan Schwartz	Phil Wong	Bryan Schwartz	Author
B. Schwartz	B.Schwartz	B. Schwartz	B. Schwartz	B. Schwartz	B. SCHWartz	B. Schwartz	C. SCIWBILE	B Chush	P Wong A Schwarty	Honkanen	P.Wong, F. Chaussy, B. Heitzinger, M.	P.Wong	P.Wong	F. Chaussy, P. Wong, M. Honkanen	M.Honkanen, P. Wong	F. Chaussy	P. Lukas, M. Honkanen, B. Heitzinger,	P.Wong, M.Honkanen	P.Lukas	P.Wong, B.Schwartz	P.Wong, B.Schwartz	B.Schwartz		8.Schwartz	L.Kaster	L.Kaster	B.Schwartz	B.Schwartz	P. Wong, F. Chaussy	B.Schwartz, P.Wong, J.Henry	B.Schwartz	B.Schwartz, P.Wong	B.Schwartz	B.Schwartz	P. Lukas	B. Schwartz	P.Wong	B.Schwartz	P. Wong, F. Chaussy	Recipient
5/15/2008	5/15/2008	5/15/2008	5/14/2008	5/14/2008	5/15/2008	5/15/2008	8007/c1/c	2/15/2008 SUU2/CT/C	E/12/2000	2/22/2008		3/26/2008	4/28/2008	5/30/2007	2/13/2008	2/22/2008		2/23/2008	2/29/2008	9/17/2007	9/17/2007	4/16/2007	/ 10/200/	4/16/2007	10/24/2007	10/24/2007	7/11/2007	7/11/2007	5/10/2007	5/4/2007	3/4/2008	4/21/2008	3/12/2008	1/2/2008	3/5/2008	12/17/2007	10/25/2007	3/18/2008	5/2/2007	Date

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)	
)	SS
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO) .	

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is Nichols Kaster & Anderson, LLP, One Embarcadero Center, Suite 720, San Francisco, CA 94111.

On May 15, 2008, I served the within document(s):

Plaintiff Philip Wong's Privilege Log

on the person(s) at the address(es) listed below:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

	<u>VIA U.S. MAIL:</u> By placing the document(s) listed in a sealed envelope(s) with postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States mail at San Francisco, California, addressed as set forth herein. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in the affidavit.
	<u>VIA FACSIMILE.</u> I sent such document(s) by facsimile transmission on I certify that said transmission(s) was/were completed, that all pages were received, and that a confirmation report was generated by facsimile machine phone number (415) 277-7238
	VIA PERSONAL DELIVERY. By causing delivery of the document(s) by hand-delivery
X	<u>VIA E-MAIL.</u> I e-mailed the document(s) to all interested parties in this matter in the ordinary course of business on the date indicated herein.
	<u>VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS.</u> I am readily familiar with the practice of this office for collection and processing of correspondence fro next business day delivery by FedEx, and said correspondent is deposited with FedEx on this date in the ordinary course of the business.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed this 15th day of May, 2008, at San Francisco, California.

s/Melissa A. Honkanen Melissa A. Honkanen

SERVICE LIST

George J. Tichy, II
Michele R. Barrett
Littler Mendelson, P.C.
650 California Street
20th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108-2693
gtichy@littler.com
mbarrett@littler.com

Case 3:07-cy-02446-MMC	Document 184-7	Filed 09/05/2008	Page 54 of 62
			Ü
E	ZHIR	TT T	
	KHIB.		
•			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Wong, et al., individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Case No. 07-2446 MMC

Plaintiffs,

v.

HSBC Mortgage Corporation (USA); HSBC Bank USA, N.A; and DOES 1-50,

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF PHILIP WONG

- I am a Plaintiff in this action against Defendants (hereinafter "HSBC"). I am over the age of 18 and competent to testify about the matters set forth in this declaration.
- Since December 4, 2005, I have been employed as a Senior Retail Mortgage 2. Lending Consultant ("loan officer") by HSBC at HSBC Bank's Irving Street (San Francisco), Montgomery Street (San Francisco), Oakland, and Millbrae branch offices (all in California). As a loan officer, my job duties have been consistent throughout my employment, and are entirely focused on my selling as many loans as possible. My job is to sell HSBC's mortgage and loan products and generate loans for customers according to HSBC's guidelines and procedures. I am informed and believe that the duties of other persons holding my position in my region, the San Francisco area, are and have been substantially similar to mine. These duties include. but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Calling potential customers (leads), most of which were provided to me by HSBC, in attempting to sell loans;
 - b. Speaking to potential customers (leads) in HSBC branches, in attempting to sell loans;
 - c. Taking information from the potential customers and completing loan applications; and

 d. Collecting client documents and answering client questions about HSBC's mortgage and loan products and/or answering questions from HSBC's underwriting department

I have not had any supervisory responsibilities.

- 3. I spend the majority of my time and perform the vast majority of my responsibilities working from the HSBC Mortgage Corporation office in the San Francisco area, from the HSBC branch offices assigned to me, or from my home office. My manager, Amy Ku, publishes a schedule with regular times that I am required to work from the HSBC Mortgage Corporation office and from the HSBC branch offices, which has consistently occupied more than 40 hours of my workweek and is my primary responsibility and primary source of sales leads. Ms. Ku has emphasized that branch attendance is mandatory and that, as one email stated, we are expected to be "physically at the branch as scheduled." See ATTACHMENT 1, Ku 1/02/07 Email.
- 4. My second-line supervisor at HSBC, Jeff Needham, told certain loan officers on a conference call that the company considers us as "outside salespersons." Yet, Mr. Needham said in the same call that the loan officers' first priority was working from the branch offices and that we were required to be in the branch offices on the days mandated by the schedules received from our managers.
- 5. I often work long hours in order to meet the sales demands and production quotas mandated by my managers. Prior to my brief paternity leave, I worked, on average, more than 65 hours per week. I typically worked from 6:00 or 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 or 8:00 PM Monday through Friday, from my home office and the HSBC branches. Sometimes I worked as late as 11 p.m., working with international clients. Since returning from paternity leave, I have still averaged working more than 55 hours per week. Since I am classified as exempt, I have never been paid for my overtime hours worked.
- 6. On Saturdays, I generally attend workshops at least twice a month lasting 3-5 hours. We also have to attend Saturday events with the branches once every three months, where we are required to work from 9:00 AM to 4:00 PM.
- 7. I am required to work outside the office and away from my home office at night and during the weekends several times each month to sell HSBC's mortgage and loan products in the community. These activities include, but are not limited to, attending trade shows, attending open houses to meet with realtors, and attending home buying seminars and other seminars in the community. These outside sales efforts are not my primary responsibility.
- 8. I have never been told by my managers about any meal and rest break policy that applies to me as a loan officer. Especially if my managers are in the office, I am discouraged from taking meal or rest breaks. When I do eat lunch during the

workday, I have to eat it at my desk while I work. I rarely take 10-minute rest breaks during the day. I have never received any premium pay for the meal and rest breaks that I have missed.

- 9. I was not required to have any specialized academic training to become a loan officer at HSBC. I do not possess any graduate degree and none was required of me before becoming a loan officer. I have taken some classes in economics and accounting over the years, but none of these were prerequisites to my employment at HSBC. I am informed and believe that my fellow loan officers come from a wide variety of backgrounds and do not possess and are not required to possess any specialized academic training to be able to sell loans.
- 10. Initially, HSBC paid me a base salary ("non-recoverable draw"), and I was supposed to receive a commission based on the volume of my mortgage sales and my number of sales. For my first 6 months at HSBC, my base salary wound up being 100% of my total compensation. For the first year, I earned a \$36,000 base salary plus approximately \$20,000 commissions. After the first 12 months, I began to receive a "recoverable draw" of \$23,000/year. HSBC currently requires us to pay back out of our commissions the administrative costs to cover any loans we originate that are declined. We eventually end up paying the application fees out of our own pockets. Since I worked an average of nearly 280 hours a month, my hourly rate has averaged less than minimum wage, and I am not consistently earning more than \$455/week.
- 11. HSBC did not keep any official record of my hours worked, or whether I took meal or rest breaks. HSBC never provided time sheets during my employment. I am informed and believe that none of the other loan officers I have known who have been employed by HSBC ever engaged in any formal timekeeping while employed at HSBC.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

12/12/02 Date

Phillip Wong

Amy S Ku/HBUS/HSBC 01/02/2007 03:53 PM

To Philip G Wong/HBUS/HSBC@HSBC, Frederic Y Chaussy/HBUS/HSBC@HSBC, Abby Ho/HBUS/HSBC@HSBC

cc leah.b.guttilla@us.hsbc.com@HSBC

bcc

Subject Attendance and Punctuality.

Hi Team.

During the last team meeting on 12-18-06, I have addressed the importance of punctual branch attendance and report submission.

We are mortgage professionals and we are obligated to be punctual and committed to our own schedule. When there is a form, training or report due, we are expected to comply. In occasion that you cannot meet the above expectations, I should be notified in advance so that we can work on an alternative solution.

Effective Jan 3rd 2007, each staff is given 2 Free Passes for the year 2007 (2 "get out of trouble" tokens) that can be applied for minor tardiness in attendance, reports, etc.

The 3rd strike will result in an official write up.

As mentioned in team meeting and conference calls, when you need to change your branch schedule, you simply need to email your branch staff and cc me with an explanation. This is the minimal expectation of a professional.

In case you are overwhelmed with production and thus foresee being late in report, training, etc, you are obligated to notify me in advance.

The 2007 branch compensation model expects all bank staff to refer mortgage to us. Therefore, bank staff expects us to be physically at the branch as scheduled. Every month each LO is given the chance to submit a wish list in regards to the branch schedule and I will try my best to satisfy your wish.

I hope that no LO will be using the Free Pass in 2007 because after all, like you have heard before, if your are committed to HSBC and thrive to succeed in this business, you should treat your clients, bank staff, operation staff, Leah and me the same way as how you want to be treated.

Thank you for your cooperation!

Amy S. Ku Retail Sales Manager, Northern California Region HSBC Mortgage Corporation (USA) amy.s.ku@us.hsbc.com Fax: (917) 229-5167 Cellular: (650) 222-5645

HSBC values your patronage.

We are committed to providing overall exceptional service to our customers.

1

	T					
		Seturosy	<u>&</u>	50	22	
2	Friday	S Montgomery: Leah Irving: Philip Dakland: contact Amy Premont: Fred Warm Springs: Fred Palo Alto: contact Amy	Cuperine: Abby 12 Montgomery: Leah fruing: Philip Oakland: confact Amy Francht: Fred Palo Albo: confact Amy Cupertino: Abby	18 Montpomery: Leah Inving: Philip Oaldand: cornact Amy Fremont: Fred Warm Springs: Fred Paid Alio: contact Amy Paid Alio: contact Amy Cuparther: Abby	26 Wontgomery: Leeh Gring: Philip Grindand: contact Amy Fremont: Fred Warm Springs: Fred Palo Atro: contact Amy	Cuperturo: Abby
inuary 200	Thursday	4 Montgomery: Lear/Abby Montgomery: Lear/Abby Oakland: Philip Fremont: Fred Werm Springs: Fred Pealo Attor Amy Pelo Attor Amy Cutserfine: contact	11 Montgomery: Leeh(Abby India) Contact Amy Caktend: Philip French Warm Springes Fred Warm Springes: Fred Palo Albo; Amy Cupertino: contact Amy	18 Montpornery: Leah(Abby krving: contact Amy Oakland: Philip Fremont: Fred Warm Springs: Fred Palo Allo: Amy Cupertino: contact Amy	25 Wortgomery: LeatyAbby Wortgomery: LeatyAbby Oeldand: Philip Fremont: Fred Warm Springs: Fred Ping Atto: Any Ping Atto: Any	
hedule - Ja	Wednesday	Montgomery: Leah Irving; contact Amy Oakland: Philip Femont: Amy Warm Spalings: Amy Palo Atha: contact Amy Cupertino: Fred	10 Workgomery: Lesh Workgomery: Lesh Oakland: Philip Fremont: Amy Warm Springs: Amy Palo Alto: contact Amy Cupertino: Fred	Montgomery: Leah Inving: context Amy Caskand: Philip Pernont: Philip Warm Spri Amy Warm Spri Amy Palo Alto: context Amy Capertino: Fred	24 Montgomery: Leah Irving: contact Amy Oakland: Philip Frenchort: Amy Warm Springs: Anny Palo Ains: contact Amy Cupertino: Fred	31 Montgomery: Leah Irving: contact Arry Coadand: Philip Fremont: Amy Warm Springer. Amy Pelo Alto: contact Amy Cupertino: Fred
Branch Schedule - January 2007	Tuesday	2 Montgomery: Leeh hving: Philip oeklent: contact Amy Fremont: Fred Warm Springe: Fred Palo Alto: contact Amy Cupertino: Abby	9 Wortgomery: Leah Irving: Philip Oaklend: contact Amy Fremont: Fred Warm Springs: Fred Pelo Alte: contact Amy Cupertine: Abby	16 i Norigomery: Leah kving: Philip Cakkand: comact Amy Fremont: Fred Warm Springs: Fred Palo Alto: contact Amy Cupertino: Abby	23 Montgomery: Leah Irving: Philip Oakland: confact Amy Fremont: Fred Warm Springs: Fred Palo Atto: confact Amy Cupertino: Abby	30 Bortgomery: Leat bying: Philip Oakland: Contact Amy Fremont: Fred Warm Springs: Fred Palo Allo: contact Amy Cupertino: Abby
	Monday	1. Holiday	Montgomery: Leah kving: contect Amy Osfdand: Philip Fermont: Abby Warm Springs: Abby Palo Alto: contact Amy Cupertino: Frederio	In Montgomery: Leah Montgomery: Leah Montgomery: Leah Oakland: Philip Fremont: Abby Warn Springs: Abby Palo Ato: contact Amy Cubertino: Frederic	22. Montgomery: Leah Vering: contact Amy Cakland: Philip Fremont: Abby Warm Springs: Abby Pelo Afto: contact Amy Cupertino: Frederic	29 Montgomery: Leah Irving: contact Amy Cakland: Philip Fremont: Abby Warm Springs: Abby Palo Alto: contact Amy Cupertino: Frederic
	Sunday		2	ŧ	ស	28

Page 60 of 62

-	T	T			·	
٠	Saturday	3 Fremouit Fred - by appointment	10 Fremout Fred - by appointment	17 Premont: Pred - by Apple latment	24 Fremout Pred - by appointment	31 Premout: Fred - by Appointment
٠.	Briday	2 Montgomery: Leah Ivelag: Philip Oakland: comtact Amy Promost: Pred Warm Spings: Fred Palo Ako: contact Amy Capartiso: Abby	9 Montgomery: Leah living: Philip Oakiend: cortact Amy Fremont: Red Warm Springe: Fred Palo Autoc context Amy Capentiso: Abdy	16 Montgomery: Leah living: Philip Onkland: contact Amy Framont: Fred Warm Springs: Fred Palo Alto: contact Amy Operation: Abby	Montgomery: Leah Irving: Philip Onkhadt contact Amy Fremont: Red Warn Springs: Red Palo Alio: contact Amy Cupartino: Abby	30 Mongomery: Leah Irving, Philip Onkland: contact Amy Remont: Rred Warm Springs: Rred Palo Alor: contact Amy
arch 2007	Thursday	Montgomery: Leafs/Abby Prying: contact Amy Ostiand: Philip Premont: Fred Wern Springs: Reaf Falo Alto: Amy Capertine: contact Amy	8 Montgomery: Leah/Abby Irving: contact Amy Onkhasi: Philip Premost: Pred Premost: Red Palo Alba: Any Caportino: Contact Amy	15 Montgomery: Leah/Abby Irving: contact Amy Calcinci: Philip Promont: Fred Promont: Fred Palo Alac: Amy Cupertino: contact Amy Cupertino: contact Amy	22 Mostgonery: Lash/Abby Irving: contact Any Oskiand: Philip Framost: Fred Framost: Fred Falo Ako: Any Oupertino: contact Any	29 Montgomery: Leais/Abby Aving: contact Amy Oaklend: Philip Framout; Red Warm Springs: Fred Palo Alto: Any
Branch Schedule - March 2007	Wednerday		7 Montgomery: Leah Irving: contact Any Onkinad: Philip Fremout/Warm Springer Contact Any Palo Alto: contact Any Cuperline: Red	14 Montgomety: Leab Montgomety: Leab Irving: coetact Any Onklead: Philip Premont/Warn Springs: Contact Any Pulo Alto: contact Any Capering: Fred	21 Montgomery: Leah Irving: contact Amy Oukland: Philip Premout/Warm Springs: Contact Amy Palo Alto: contact Amy Cuperting: Fred	28. Montgomery: Leah Montgomery: Leah Inving: contact Anny Gakkad: Philip Fremon/Warm Springs: Contact Anny Palo Alto: contact Anny
Branch	Tuesday		Montgomery: Leah Montgomery: Leah Welge: Philip Oaklahad: contact Amy Frencon/Warm Springs: Fred Palo Alto: contact Amy Cupertino: Abby	13 Regional Meating Montgomery: Lash Inving: Philip Onklind: contact Amy Prenont/Warm Springs: Fred Palo Alix: contact Amy Cuperino: Abby	20 Montgomery: Leah bying: Philip Cakhani: contex Amy Frenon/Warn Springs: Fred Palo Ako: context Amy Caperthor: Abby	27 Montgomery: Leah Irving: Philip Oakland: contact Amy Freencut/Warm Springs: Fived Fived Contact Amy Contact Any Contact Any Contact Any
	Monday		S Mongomeny: Leah Living: country Amy Onklead: Publich Fremant/Warm Springs: Fred Palo Alto: contact Amy Cupertino: Abby	12. Regional Meeting Montgomery: Lash Fring: contact Anny Onkland: Philip Bremont/Warn Springs: Fred Palo Alto: contact Anny Cupertino: Abby	19 Montgomery: Leah Ivolug: conlect Amy Oakland: Philip Fremont/Warm Springs: Fred Allo: contact Amy Capertino: Abby	26 Montgomery: Leah Irving: contact Amy Oathand: Philip Fremont/Warm Springs: Pred Palo Afto: contact Array Oursering: Abby
200	Amano Company		4	ជ	99	

Andrea promune, a pri mane espai i di e que		Saturday					
			~	4	22	78	
ril 2007	100	rivady	6 Montgomery: Leah Montgomery: Leah Oakland: contact Amy Oakland: Philip Fremost/Warm Springs: Free After contact Amy Cuperfilms: Abbw	13 Montgomery: Leah Irving: contact Any Oakland: Philip Frennit/Marm Springs: Fred Palo Alte: contact Arry Cuperthro: Abby	20 Monkgomery: Leah Monkgomery: Leah Oakland: Philip Fremont/Warm Springe: Fred Fred Alto: confect Amy Cuperfine: Abby	27 Montgomery: Leah Montgomery: Leah Diving: contact Arry Cardand: Philip Fremont/Wern Springs: Fred Palo Alto: contact Arry Cuperfino: Abby	
in Officer Branch Schedule - April 2007	Thursday	1	Bongomery: LeakAbby InVing: Contact Amy Cakland: Philip Framodifflams Springs: Frad Paio Alto: contact Amy Cupertino: contact Amy	12 Montgomery: LessiAbby InVing: contact Arry Caldard: Philip PremortWerm Springs: Fred Palo Albo: contact Arry Cupertino: contact Arry Cupertino: contact Abby	19 Montpomery: LeataAbby Inving: contact Amy Oakland: Philip Frencoat/Warm Springs: Fred Palo Alto: contact Amy Cuperties: contact Amy	28 Montgomery: Leat/Abby InVING: contact Anny Oekfland: Philip Franconfflam Springs: Frad Palo Allo: contact Anny Cuperfleo: contact Anny	
nch Sche	Wednesday	7	Montgomery: Leah Irving: Philip Caldand: contact Amy Previorit/Marm Springs: Fred Palo Atto: contact Arry Cupertino: Abby	Mortgomery: Leah Mortgomery: Leah Frencontract contact Amy Frencontribum Springs: Fred Palo Atto: contact Amy Cupertino: Abby	18 Montgomery: Leah Irving: Philip Ozkland: contact Amy Frenchtiffarm Springe: Fred Palo Alto: contact Amy Cupertino: Abby	25 Montgomery: Leah Iving: Philip Oakland: contact Arry Freenort/Warm Springe: Fred Palo Alto: contact Arry Cupertino: Altoy	
fficer Bra	Tuesday	3 Staff Meeting	Please contact your	Montgomery: Leah Irving: contact Arry Oakland: Philip PremontMarm Springe: Fred Palo Alto: contact Arry Cupertino: Abby	17 Montgomery: Leah Nords contact Any Oekleard: Philip Present/Marm Springs: Fred Palo Alto: context Amy Cupertino: Abby	24 Montgomery: Leah Irking: contact Amy Caktsand: Philip FivenceMMarm Springs: Fired Palo Alto: contact Amy Cupertino: Abby	
Loan O	Monday	7	Montgomery: Leah Inving: Philip Oakland: contact Any FremonitWam Springs: Fred Fred Cuperting: contact Amy Cuperting: Abby	g Montgomery: Leah Irving: Philip Oakfand: contact Amy Fremont/Warm Springs: Fremont/Warm Springs: Palo Alto: contact Amy Cupertino: Abby	Montgomery: Leah Montgomery: Leah Darks: Philip Oakkand: Contact Amy Fremont/Warm Springs: Fred Palo Allo: contact Amy Cuperting: Abby	23 Montgomery: Leah Invhrg: Philip Oakland: contact Amy FramontMern Springs: Frad Palo Allo: contact Amy Cuperting: Abby	30 Montgomery: Leah Irving: Philip Oeldand: contact Amy FrencetWarm Springs: Fred Palo Alto: contact Amy Cupertino: Abby
	Sunday						
-	1	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6	9	8	8